Decadal Multi-model Potential Predictability

G.J. Boer CCCma

Funded by the Canadian Foundation for Climate and Atmospheric Sciences

Intro

- Theme II subproject offers to study "decadal" prediction
- How do we convince ourselves that there is hope?
- Potential predictability" is a diagnostic approach which assumes that:
 - coupled processes introduce long-timescale variability in the system

 this variability is not simply the residue of averaging unpredictable noise

 that in a deterministic system this variability could be predicted with enough knowledge



Potential predictability

Diagnostic study from available information
Analysis looks for:

- long timescale variability
- of sufficient magnitude to be of interest
- in observations (of models in this case)

- not simply the residue of averaging

 Location and nature of the variability may suggest mechanism/processes

Statistical model

 $X_{nm} = \mu + \delta_n + \varepsilon_{nm}$ where

- δ_n represents the long timescale "potentially predictable" variance

- ε_{nm} is the "noise"

- is δ non-zero? Do we see long timescale variability (and hence potential predictability)?
- what fraction of the variance is "potentially predictable"?
- how well do we know this (i.e. what are the confidence bands)?

Variance estimates

Look for unbiased estimates of variance of:

$$S_{\delta}^{2} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n} (\overline{X}_{n.} - \overline{X}_{..})^{2}$$
 n= number of pentades ...

 $S_{\varepsilon}^{2} = \frac{1}{NM} \sum_{n} \sum_{m} (\overline{X}_{nm} - \overline{X}_{n.})^{2}$ m= year in pentade ...

long timescale
 component
 short timescale noise

$$\hat{\sigma}_{\delta}^{2} = \left(\frac{N}{N-1}\right)S_{\delta}^{2} - \left(\frac{1}{M-1}\right)S_{\varepsilon}^{2}$$
$$\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^{2} = \left(\frac{M}{M-1}\right)S_{\varepsilon}^{2}$$

Potential predictability variance fraction (*ppvf*)

long timescale fraction of total variance approximate test for hypothesis $\rho = 0$ (hope to reject) estimate confidence interval $\rho_{1} < \rho < \rho_{11}$ is ρ big enough to be of interest?

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{\delta}^2}{\hat{\sigma}_{\delta}^2 + \hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon}^2}$$

 Results from earlier study show data requirements are severe

large confidence bands
even with 1000years of data
Multi-model approach

provides lots of data



Multi-model approach

assumes a random sample from the population of models produced with current knowledge
 current numerics, parameterizations ...
 reasonable approaches/researchers ...
 Simulations by different models are take to be independent realizations of the climate system
 ensemble gives information on probabilistic structure of system

- ensemble allows better estimation of population parameters (hence of *ppvf*)

IPCC AR4 data

consider initially preindustrial Control climate (intended to be) equilibrium climate in balance with forcing results from 27 models are available simulations lengths from 100 to 1000 years we consider surface air temperature and precipitation (the two main climate parameters)





Long-term means

Precipitation



Observations

Multi-model ensemble mean

Standard Deviation of annual means

3.0

Temperature Precipitation Observations 2.0 5.0 3.0 0.25 1.5 0.5 0.5 1.0 1.0 1.5 2.0 Multi-model ensemble

Autocorrelation

Decorrelation rate gives a sense of timescales
 Can compare with observations for Temp
 Suggests areas with long timescales which are candidates for predictability

Autocorrelation



From Multi-model Ensemble

Autocorrelation



Lag = 2 years





Lag = 4 years

From obs SST

Potential predictability

Fraction of long timescale variability
Expect connection to autocorrelation
Earlier efforts included temperature only but MME provides enough data to consider precipitation
Implication for decadal etc forecasting

Potential predictability: preliminary results

- Ratio of "predictable" to total variance
- MME provides stability of statistics: *ppvf* in white areas <2% and/or not significant at 1% level
- Long timescale predictability found mainly over oceans
- Some incursion into land areas but modest *ppvf*
- Natural and anthropogenic forcing should add predictability expc. over land



Potential predictability: preliminary results

-MME provides "some" significant areas of precipitation

-Much less potentially predictable than temperature

- Predictability over oceans a much weakened version compared to temperature

- Some incursion into land areas but more modest

- Natural and anthropogenic forcing might add predictability perhaps over ocean?



The good and bad of potential predictability

Potential predictability: bad

- doesn't necessarily imply actual predictability

 not enough data to infer for real system so adopt "perfect model" approach

- need multi-model and/or long simulations for stable statistics

Potential predictability: good

- implies the possibility of actual predictability given sufficient information

- may suggest potential mechanisms

 motivates decadal prediction efforts – the next big thing in prediction research

End of presentation





Temperature







Potential predictability: preliminary results

- Ratio of "predictable" to natural variance
- MME provides stability of statistics
- Long timescale predictability found mainly over oceans
- Natural and anthropogenic forcing should add predictability expc. over land



